ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Time-efficient combined morphologic and quantitative joint MRI: an *in situ* study of standardized knee cartilage defects in human cadaveric specimens

Teresa Lemainque^{1*}, Nicola Pridöhl¹, Shuo Zhang², Marc Huppertz¹, Manuel Post¹, Can Yüksel¹, Masami Yoneyama³, Andreas Prescher⁴, Christiane Kuhl¹, Daniel Truhn¹ and Sven Nebelung¹

Abstract

Background Quantitative techniques such as T2 and T1p mapping allow evaluating the cartilage and meniscus. We evaluated multi-interleaved X-prepared turbo-spin echo with intuitive relaxometry (MIXTURE) sequences with turbo spin-echo (TSE) contrast and additional parameter maps *versus* reference TSE sequences in an *in situ* model of human cartilage defects.

Methods Standardized cartilage defects of 8, 5, and 3 mm in diameter were created in the lateral femora of ten human cadaveric knee specimens (81 ± 10 years old; nine males, one female). MIXTURE sequences providing proton density-weighted fat-saturated images and T2 maps or T1-weighted images and T1p maps as well as the corresponding two- and three-dimensional TSE reference sequences were acquired before and after defect creation (3-T scanner; knee coil). Defect delineability, bone texture, and cartilage relaxation times were quantified. Appropriate parametric or non-parametric tests were used.

Results Overall, defect delineability and texture features were not significantly different between the MIXTURE and reference sequences ($p \le 0.47$). After defect creation, relaxation times significantly increased in the central femur (T2_{pre} = 51 ± 4 ms [mean ± standard deviation] *versus* T2_{post} = 56 ± 4 ms; p = 0.002) and all regions combined (T1 $\rho_{pre} = 40 \pm 4$ ms *versus* T1 $\rho_{post} = 43 \pm 4$ ms; p = 0.004).

Conclusions MIXTURE permitted time-efficient simultaneous morphologic and quantitative joint assessment based on clinical image contrasts. While providing T2 or T1p maps in clinically feasible scan time, morphologic image features, *i.e.*, cartilage defects and bone texture, were comparable between MIXTURE and reference sequences.

Relevance statement Equally time-efficient and versatile, the MIXTURE sequence platform combines morphologic imaging using familiar contrasts, excellent image correspondence *versus* corresponding reference sequences and quantitative mapping information, thereby increasing the diagnostic value beyond mere morphology.

Key points

• Combined morphologic and quantitative MIXTURE sequences are based on three-dimensional TSE contrasts.

*Correspondence: Teresa Lemainque

tlemainque@ukaachen.de

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

- MIXTURE sequences were studied in an *in situ* human cartilage defect model.
- Morphologic image features, *i.e.*, defect delineabilty and bone texture, were investigated.
- Morphologic image features were similar between MIXTURE and reference sequences.
- MIXTURE allowed time-efficient simultaneous morphologic and quantitative knee joint assessment.
- Keywords Cartilage, In situ model, Knee joint, Magnetic resonance imaging, Osteoarthritis

Graphical Abstract



Background

Osteoarthritis is a chronic joint disease with increasing prevalence due to aging and obesity [1]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is clinically well-suited for diagnosing cartilage degeneration as the hallmark change of osteoarthritis. Traditional MRI sequences such as proton density (PD)-weighted fat-saturated (FS) sequences focus on cartilage morphology, *i.e.*, surface integrity and intratissue signal [2]. They are insensitive to early degenerative changes of tissue morphology, such as partial-thickness defects and fibrillation [3, 4].

Quantitative MRI techniques such as T2 or T1 ρ mapping may be beneficial in detecting such changes at a potentially reversible stage [5, 6]. Consensus prevails that adding T2 maps to the routine knee protocol improved sensitivity in detecting cartilage lesions significantly [3, 6]. The literature is less clear on T1 ρ : even though the association between cartilage degeneration and

prolongation of T1 ρ relaxation is well established [7, 8], its potential diagnostic benefits remain to be ascertained. Both mapping techniques have in common that their more widespread adoption is hampered by prohibitively long scan times and other challenges [9, 10].

Combined morphologic and quantitative sequences, such as quantitative double-echo in steady-state, provide morphologic images and T2 maps in clinically feasible scan times [11–13]. Quantitative double-echo in steady-state sequences is diagnostically equivalent to conventional clinical MRI protocols; therefore, they are theorized to (partially) substitute the routine knee protocol while providing additional T2 maps [14]. Nevertheless, the morphologic images lack the clinically familiar contrasts of state-of-the-art turbo spin-echo (TSE) sequences. The "multi-interleaved x-prepared turbo spin-echo with intuitive relaxometry" (MIXTURE) sequence provides an alternative platform for combined imaging

[15]. These sequences are designed to acquire at least two morphologic images of different contrast weightings using variable prepulses. Because prepulses, echo times, and spin-lock durations are freely adjustable, the sequences provide quantitative T2 or T1 ρ maps as a "byproduct" of the morphologic images. In contrast to quantitative double-echo in steady-state, MIXTURE is based on a three-dimensional (3D) TSE acquisition. Preliminary studies have explored its principal clinical applicability, but systematic comparisons with reference sequences and standardized pathologies are lacking [16–20].

This study aimed to evaluate MIXTURE sequences in two principal configurations for their clinical usage and against corresponding two-dimensional (2D) and 3D TSE reference sequences in an *in situ* model of standardized cartilage defects. We hypothesized that the morphologic MIXTURE images are diagnostically on par with their reference sequence counterparts while additionally providing T2 and T1p maps in clinically feasible time frames.

Methods

Study design

The local Institutional Review Board approved this prospective *in situ* imaging study on human cadaveric knee joint specimens (Ethical Committee, RWTH Aachen University, EK180/16) conducted in 2022 and 2023. Fresh-frozen and nonfixated knee joint specimens from body donors who had given written informed consent prior to study initiation were provided by the local Institute of Anatomy (RWTH Aachen University, Germany). Moderate-to-severe cartilage degeneration of the lateral compartment, such as substantial tissue loss or focal lesions, was screened for during standard clinical scanning (using 2D TSE PD-weighted FS imaging) and defined as an exclusion criterion. Based on a preliminary analysis of the first three specimens, a minimum sample size of 8 was calculated using a statistical power of 80%, a significance level of 0.01, and an effect size (*i.e.*, Cohen's d [21]) of 1.24. Hence, ten knee joint specimens were included.

Workflow

Specimens were left to thaw at room temperature for 24 h. MRI was performed before and after creating standardized cartilage defects. On day 1, predefect MRI was performed. The specimens were kept at 5 $^{\circ}$ C overnight. On day 2, cartilage defects were created, and postdefect MRI was performed immediately afterward.

Cartilage defects

We present in Fig. 1 the standardized step-wise creation of the cartilage defects. N.P. (pregraduation medical student, 2 years of experience) created the defects. First, the knee joint was accessed through a median longitudinal skin incision and a medial peri-patellar approach. Once the joint was flexed, the patella was everted laterally to fully expose the joint. Second, the weight-bearing region of the lateral femoral condyle was identified. Three defects of 3, 5, and 8 mm in diameter were created in the lateral femoral condyle perpendicular to the condyle's bone contour. The cartilage tissue was removed using skin biopsy punches of corresponding diameters and surgical scalpels. Particular care was taken to maintain the integrity of the subchondral lamella. Third, the joint was thoroughly and continuously irrigated with 0.9% saline solution to remove surgical debris and excess air. Fourth, the joint was sutured layer-wise.

MRI acquisition

All scans were performed on a 3-T MRI scanner (Elition X, Philips, Best, The Netherlands) using an eightchannel transmit-receive knee coil. Specimens were



Fig. 1 Standardized cartilage defect model. a Intact knee joint. b Complete surgical exposure of the knee joint specimen through the longitudinal arthrotomy, medial peripatellar incision, and lateral eversion of the patella. c By use of biopsy punches, cartilage defects of variable diameters, *i.e.*, 3 mm (top), 5 mm (center), and 8 mm (bottom), were aligned anteroposteriorly. d The wound was closed by layer-wise suturing under continuous irrigation

positioned feet-first, supine, and in approximately 30° of flexion in line with clinical positioning. Two MIXTURE sequences were acquired: PD-weighted FS images with T2 maps (scan time 4:59 min:s) and T1-weighted images with T1p maps (scan time 6:30 min:s), followed by the respective reference sequences (2D TSE and 3D TSE). The first MIXTURE sequence was a combination of PD-weighted FS (using a spectral attenuated inversion-recovery [SPAIR] prepulse) and T2-weighted morphologic (using a T2-preparation module of 50 ms) acquisitions, in an interleaved manner. Voxel-wise T2 relaxation times were determined based on the two images by monoexponential fitting, and T2 maps were subsequently reconstructed on the scanner workstation using prototype software. The second MIXTURE sequence was a combination of a T1-weighted (without preparation) and two spin lockprepared T1p-weighted FS morphologic acquisitions (using T1 ρ -preparation modules of 25 ms and 50 ms, respectively, and SPAIR prepulses). Voxel-wise, T1 ρ relaxation times were determined based on the three images by mono-exponential fitting, and T1 ρ maps were reconstructed accordingly. Further details on the MIXTURE sequences can be found in the literature [15]. 2D TSE reference PD-weighted FS and T1-weighted sequences were included per our clinical knee protocol, while 3D TSE reference PD-weighted FS and T1-weighted sequences were obtained from the vendor and included. Table 1 summarizes the sequence parameters.

Notably, as 3D TSE acquisitions, the reference 3D TSE and MIXTURE sequences can, in principle, be acquired at isotropic resolution. In this study, however, we aimed to match the 3D TSE sequences to the 2D reference TSE sequences, *i.e.*, the clinical reference standard, for voxel-to-voxel comparisons. Consequently, the

Table 1 MRI sequence parameters

Parameter	MIX 1	2D TSE PD-FS	3D TSE PD-FS	MIX 2	2D TSE T1-weighted	3D TSE T1-weighted	
Sequence type	3D TSE	2D TSE	3D TSE	3D TSE	2D TSE	3D TSE	
Orientation	Sagittal						
TR [ms]	1,200	3,000	1,100	600	582	400	
TE [ms]	N/A	40	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	
TE _{eff} [ms]	125	N/A	125	22	N/A	36	
TE _{equiv} [ms]	46	N/A	46	13	N/A	21	
Echo train length [<i>n</i>]	35	11	35	12	5	8	
Refocusing pattern	"MSK PD FS"	"No"	"MSK PD FS"	"Spine view T1"	"Constant" (110°)	"MSKT1"	
Compressed SENSE factor	4.5	2.5	3.5	6	2	6	
NSA [<i>n</i>]	1	2	1	1	2	2	
Fat saturation	SPAIR—none	SPIR	SPAIR	None—SPAIR	None	None	
T2-prep TE [ms]	0, 50	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
SL-prep TSL [ms]	N/A	N/A	N/A	0, 25, 50	N/A	N/A	
SL-prep frequency [Hz]	N/A	N/A	N/A	500	N/A	N/A	
Scan time [min:s]	4:59	4:06	2:57	6:38	4:23	4:22	
FOV [mm ²]	140×140						
Acquisition matrix [px]	304×304						
Reconstruction matrix [px]	512 × 512						
Fat shift direction	Anteroposterior						
Phase oversampling [%]	12 + 12	30 + 30	12 + 12	12 + 12	33 + 33	12 + 12	
Slices [n]	43						
Slice thickness [mm]	3						
Slice oversampling [%]	12	N/A	12	100	N/A	12	

Two MIXTURE sequences were acquired, combining morphologic imaging with quantitative mapping, and 2D TSE and 3D TSE reference sequences of the same weighting. PD-weighted FS images were combined with quantitative T2 maps ("MIX 1") and T1-weighted images with T1p maps ("MIX 2"). Note that for 3D TSE sequences, TE_{eff} and TE_{equiv} denote the effective and equivalent TE, respectively, as mediated by the choice of the refocusing pattern. In contrast, the 2D TSE sequence uses a constant refocusing flip angle that a single TE can describe. During the TSE readout, different refocusing patterns with variable order and magnitude of the flip angles are employed as designated by the manufacturer. T2-prep TE and SL-prep TSL refer to the duration of the preparation modules that MIXTURE employs to generate the respective contrast weightings

FOV Field of view, FS Fat-saturated, *MIXTURE* Multi-interleaved x-prepared turbo spin-echo with intuitive relaxometry, *MSK* Musculoskeletal, *N/A* Not applicable, *NSA* Number of signal averages, *PD* Proton density, *Prep* Preparation, *TE* Echo time, *TE_{eff}* Effective TE, *TE_{equiv}* Equivalent TE, *TSE* turbo spin-echo, *TSL* Spin lock time, *TR* Repetition time, *SENSE* Sensitivity encoding, *SL* Sin lock, *SPAIR* Spectral attenuated inversion-recovery, *SPIR* Spectral presaturation with inversion-recovery

3D TSE sequences were acquired analogously to the 2D TSE sequence, *i.e.*, using thicker slices and higher inplane resolution than achievable with isotropic image acquisitions.

Image analysis

Quantitative analyses were performed in Python version 3.9.9 [22].

Defect delineability

Cartilage defect delineability was assessed on the PDweighted FS sequences using line profiles manually annotated in ITK-SNAP version 3.8 [23, 24] by N.P. (pregraduation medical student, 2 years of experience in medical imaging) and visually verified by S.N. (boardcertified musculoskeletal radiologist, 10 years of experience). Line profiles were placed through the defect and adjacent cartilage on the sagittal postdefect PD-weighted FS image that centrally bisected the defects (Fig. 2a). As projections of the signal intensity (SI) along their course, SI line profiles were extracted from the 2D TSE, 3D TSE, and MIXTURE PD-weighted FS images and normalized to the maximum of 1 (Fig. 2b). For every SI line profile, full width at half maximum (FWHM, Fig. 2c) and edge width (EW, Fig. 2d) were evaluated as surrogates of defect delineability. More specifically, a parallel line was defined at half maximum between the background signal level of cartilage and the maximum SI along the line profile.



Fig. 2 Inter-sequence comparison of cartilage defect delineability. **a** For this representative defect of 5 mm in diameter, a line was manually annotated to transect the defect and adjacent cartilage at mid-substance (red line, sagittal PD-weighted FS image). **b** For each sequence, *i.e.*, 2D TSE, 3D TSE, and MIXTURE, the line profiles (corresponding to the pixel-wise signal intensity along the red line) were extracted, normalized to the maximum signal intensity of 1 (blue circles), and used to calculate the FWHM (**c**) and the EW (**d**) as surrogate measures of defect delineability. **c** FWHM was determined by determining the half maximum (dashed green line) between the cartilage background signal intensity (dashed red line) and the maximum signal intensity and by measuring the horizontal distance between the intersecting points of the half maximum with the signal intensity profile (green dots). **d** Analogously, EW was determined by defining the horizontal distances between the 10 and 90% maximum intensity levels (green dots, dashed green lines) on both defect shoulders. *EW* Edge width, *FS* Fat-saturated, *FWHM* Full width at half maximum, *MIXTURE* Multi-interleaved x-prepared turbo spin-echo with intuitive relaxometry, *PD* Proton density, *TSE* Turbo spin-echo

The horizontal distance between the intersections of this line with the SI line profile was determined as the defect's FWHM. Similarly, two vertical lines per defect shoulder defined the 10% and 90% maximum SI. The horizontal distance between these two lines was determined as the respective defect shoulder width, and EW was calculated as the mean of both defect shoulder widths.

Bone texture features

The bone texture on the T1-weighted sequences was quantified using radiomic features (Fig. 3). In ITK-SNAP, circular regions of interest (ROI) with a diameter of 40 pixels were defined directly adjacent to the 5-mm defect (Fig. 3a) on the same sagittal postdefect slice as above. Before computing texture features, the stacks of the 2D TSE, 3D TSE, and MIXTURE T1-weighted images were normalized between the SI values 0 and 1 (Fig. 3b). Guided by earlier studies [25], we focused on variance, (joint) energy, (joint) entropy, and inverse difference (synonymous with "homogeneity1" [PyRadiomics]) to quantify the spatial distribution of SI values, characterize the underlying bone structure, and capture what the radiologist assesses on the microstructural level (Fig. 3c). The texture features were determined using PyRadiomics [26]. Variance is a first-order feature that measures SI value spread within the ROI; high variance indicates high heterogeneity and large differences from their mean SI. Entropy, energy, and inverse difference are gray-level cooccurrence matrix features. The gray level co-occurrence matrix quantifies how often different neighboring voxel value pairs are present within the ROI. Entropy measures disorder or complexity; high entropy indicates bone tissue with a complex texture characterized by diversely varying neighboring SI values. Energy measures textural uniformity; high energy indicates many repetitions of the same neighboring SI values. Homogeneity measures local image uniformity; high homogeneity indicates more uniform gray levels. High entropy, energy, and inverse difference values indicate more randomness, homogeneous patterns, and local homogeneity [26, 27].

Quantitative parameter maps

N.P. segmented the femoral and tibial cartilage plates on the MIXTURE PD-weighted FS images using ITK-SNAP. The central bisecting slice through the defects (postdefect) and the corresponding original slice (predefect) were segmented. The femoral cartilage was divided into an anterior ("aF"), central ("cF"), and posterior region ("pF") based on the outer contours of the lateral meniscus' anterior and posterior horns. The tibial cartilage ("T") was segmented as one region. All segmentation outlines were reviewed and adjusted by T.N. and S.N. T2 and T1p values were computed (predefect and postdefect) and provided as mean ± standard deviation for each region and the entire lateral femorotibial compartment. To investigate a potential interplay of cartilage morphology and relaxation times, the digital caliper of the in-house picture archiving and communication system was used to determine cartilage thickness adjacent to the 8-mm defects (postdefect images; PD-weighted FS and T1-weighted images side by side) and at the corresponding location (predefect images). The caliper's step size was one pixel, and the caliper resolution was limited by the image resolution of 0.27×0.27 mm.

Statistical analysis

Data are given as mean \pm standard deviation unless differently specified. Statistical analysis was performed by N.P., T.N., and S.N. using Graph Pad Prism (v9.5.1, San Diego, CA, USA). Intersequence comparisons of FWHM, EW, and radiomic texture features were performed using repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA)



Fig. 3 Inter-sequence comparison of bone texture. On the T1-weighted images, radiomic features were analyzed by defining a standardized circular region of interest adjacent to the subchondral lamella underneath the 5-mm defect (**a**). Image preprocessing included normalization of the signal intensity to the range of 0 to 1 (**b**). As texture features, variance as a first-order feature and joint energy, joint entropy, and homogeneity (*i.e.*, ID) as gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) features were extracted (**c**). For the computation of the GLCM, the normalized images were quantized into 200 evenly spaced bins. *GLCM* Gray-level co-occurrence matrix, *ID* Inverse difference

followed by the Tukey-Kramer *post hoc* test. Predefect and postdefect T2 and T1p relaxation times were comparatively evaluated per region and overall using Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank tests. Predefect and postdefect cartilage thickness was compared by means of a two-tailed paired *t*-test. To reduce the number of statistically significant but clinically likely irrelevant findings, the family-wise significance level was set to $\alpha = 0.01$. Multiplicity-adjusted *p*-values are provided.

Results

Study cohort

Ten knee joint specimens (age 81.1 ± 10.4 years; range 68-96 years; 9 males, 1 female) were included.

Standardized cartilage defects were successfully created in all specimens.

Qualitative evaluation

In PD-weighted FS images, the cartilage defects were clearly discernable, and the cartilage tissue had the characteristic layer-wise configuration and intermediate SI in all sequences. Menisci and bone marrow appeared homogeneously dark, *i.e.*, suppressed, while intraarticular fluid was homogenously bright (Fig. 4). In the T1-weighted images, the macro- and microstructural bone texture appeared slightly less blurry in the 2D TSE sequence, particularly compared to the MIXTURE image (Fig. 5). Contrast and noise levels appeared largely similar. Regarding artifacts, we noted artificial signal hyperintensities in the



Fig. 4 Representative PD-weighted fat-saturated images and MIXTURE T2 maps. Sagittal images before (orange frame (**a**)) and after (blue frame (**b**)) the creation of standardized cartilage defects. The slice that centrally bisected the three defects and the corresponding slice of the intact joint was selected. Cartilage defects of 3 mm, 5 mm, and 8 mm diameter (from left [anterior] to right [posterior]) are displayed. Zoomed images (indicated by the inset boxes in the leftmost images) are from left to right: the 2D TSE sequence, the 3D TSE sequence, and the MIXTURE sequence. Corresponding MIXTURE-based T2 maps before (**c**) and after (**d**) defect creation. The scale bar on the right extends from 0 to 100 ms. *MIXTURE* Multi-interleaved x-prepared turbo spin-echo with intuitive relaxometry, *PD* Proton density, *TSE* Turbo spin-echo



Fig. 5 Representative T1-weighted images and MIXTURE T1p maps. Morphologic images (**a**, **b**) and corresponding MIXTURE-based T1p maps (**c**, **d**) are visualized before and after defect creation. Figure organization as in Fig. 4. *MIXTURE* Multi-interleaved x-prepared turbo spin-echo with intuitive relaxometry

menisci of two specimens on MIXTURE and 3D TSE (both PD-weighted FS) images, which were much less visible on the 2D TSE PD-weighted FS images. Their absence on the corresponding MIXTURE T2-weighted images confirmed their artificial nature (Additional file 1: Fig. S1).

Quantitative evaluation of defect delineability

Table 2 presents the metrics of defect delineability, *i.e.*, FWHM and EW values. FWHM values were substantially lower than the nominal defect diameters but overall largely similar between the sequences. For the 5-mm defects, however, the 2D TSE sequence yielded significantly higher FWHM values than the MIXTURE sequence (p = 0.005). On average, EW values were lower for the 2D TSE than for the 3D TSE and MIXTURE sequences, even though statistically not significant. The latter two sequences exhibited largely similar EW values.

Quantitative evaluation of bone texture

Voxel SIs contained in the ROI were spread out along 43 \pm 10 (2D TSE), 49 \pm 9 (3D TSE), and 42 \pm 9 (MIXTURE) bins, indicating a comparable spread of voxel SI distributions. The radiomic feature analysis indicated comparable bone texture feature values between the sequences (Fig. 6). When comparatively evaluating the individual features, significant differences were only found between the 3D TSE and MIXTURE sequences with significantly higher energy and homogeneity values (and significantly lower entropy values) determined for MIXTURE *versus* 3D TSE.

Quantitative parameter maps

We observed increased T2 and T1 ρ relaxation times after defect creation for all studied regions (Table 3). These increases were mainly non-significant except for T2 in the central femur, where the defects were located

Table 2 Quantification of cartilage defect delineability

Nominal defect diameter [mm]	2D TSE FWHM [mm] EW [mm]	3D TSE FWHM [mm] EW [mm]	MIXTURE FWHM [mm] EW [mm]	<i>p</i> -value
3	2.6 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.7	2.6 ± 0.3	0.340
	1.0 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.6	0.020
5	4.4 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.2	0.004*
	1.1 ± 0.7	1.4 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.4	0.040
8	7.1 ± 0.5	7.0 ± 0.5	7.0 ± 0.5	0.470
	1.5 ± 0.9	1.8 ± 1.1	1.8 ± 1.0	0.450

The full widths at half maximum (FWHM) and edge widths (EW) were extracted from the line profiles of the PD-w FS images and used as surrogate parameters of defect delineability. EW was averaged over both defect shoulders. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation [mm]. The statistical analysis was performed using repeated measures ANOVA. *p*-values are given as a function of sequence, delineability parameter (*i.e.*, FWHM and EW), and nominal defect diameter (*i.e.*, 3 mm, 5 mm, and 8 mm). Significant differences are indicated in bold type

ANOVA Analysis of variance, EW Edge width, FS Fat-saturated, FWHM Full width at half maximum, MIXTURE Multi-interleaved x-prepared turbo spin-echo with intuitive relaxometry, PD Proton density, TSE Turbo spin-echo

^{*} The post hoc details (Tukey's test) regarding multiplicity-adjusted *p*-values for pairwise sequence comparisons were p = 0.030 for 2D TSE versus 3D TSE, p = 0.005 for 2D TSE versus MIXTURE, and p = 0.910 for 3D TSE versus MIXTURE

(predefect, 51 ± 4 ms; postdefect, 56 ± 4 ms; p = 0.002), and for T1 ρ when considering all regions together (predefect, 40 ± 4 ms; postdefect, 43 ± 4 ms; p = 0.004). The average cartilage thickness increased from 2.9 \pm 0.5 mm (predefect) to 3.1 \pm 0.5 mm (postdefect) (p = 0.06).

Discussion

Our study evaluated the image quality of MIXTURE PD-weighted FS and T1-weighted sequences relative to corresponding 2D and 3D TSE reference sequences. Focusing on the delineability of cartilage defects and quantitative bone texture features, we found that MIX-TURE sequences were largely equivalent regarding image contrast, morphologic correspondence and coherence, and quantitative features. Simultaneously, MIXTURE sequences provided quantitative T2 or T1p maps with little additional scan time. Thereby, MIXTURE sequences could increase the diagnostic information of routine scan protocols beyond mere morphology and may complement (or in parts even replace) current knee MRI protocols.

The primary advantage of MIXTURE sequences is their TSE-derived image contrast. Since their introduction to the clinic in the early 1990s, TSE sequences have been considered the standard for knee MRI; thus, radiologists are used to these images, and the American College of Radiology even formally recommends their usage [28]. MIXTURE sequences obviate the need for radiologists to familiarize themselves with other contrasts. Additionally, the sequence architecture is flexible and may be adjusted to other TSE-based weightings with or without fat saturation. A broad spectrum of sequence combinations can thus be efficiently acquired at each institution's discretion.

Specifically, we evaluated a PD-weighted FS sequence with T2 maps and a T1-weighted sequence with T1 ρ maps acquired with 43 slices across the joint in 5 and 6.5 min, respectively. Previously, Kijowski et al. [3]



Fig. 6 Analysis of bone texture features. Based on the radiomic feature analysis, a representative region of interest of the subchondral bone was defined and compared between the T1-weighted sequences, *i.e.*, the 2D TSE, 3D TSE, and MIXTURE sequences. Variance (**a**), energy (**b**), entropy (**c**), and homogeneity (**d**) were quantified and analyzed as measures of bone texture. Levels of statistical significance were stratified as "ns,""**"**," and "***" to indicate p > 0.05, $0.01 , and <math>p \le 0.001$, respectively. *MIXTURE* Multi-interleaved x-prepared turbo spin-echo with intuitive relaxometry, *TSE* Turbo spin-echo

Region	T2 _{pre} [ms]	T2 _{post} [ms]	<i>p</i> -value	T1ρ _{pre} [ms]	T1ρ _{post} [ms]	<i>p</i> -value
Anterior femur	48 ± 3	49 ± 3	0.43	43 ± 5	46 ± 3	0.040
Central femur	51 ± 4	56 ± 4	0.002*	41 ± 5	43 ± 5	0.190
Posterior femur	64 ± 8	69 ± 9	0.04	34 ± 9	39 ± 8	0.020
Tibia	41 ± 4	45 ± 4	0.05	36 ± 4	40 ± 5	0.010
All regions	48 ± 2	51 ± 2	0.03	40 ± 4	43 ± 4	0.004*

 Table 3
 Quantification of cartilage composition and ultrastructure

T2 and T1p relaxation times (mean ± standard deviation [ms]) of the segmented cartilage of the central lateral femorotibial compartment before and after defect creation in ten knee joint specimens. The regional assessment included three femoral and one tibial region. Predefect and postdefect relaxation times were compared using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, and multiplicity-adjusted *p*-values were determined

Post After defect creation, Pre Before defect creation

* Significant difference

highlighted the clinical potential of adding T2 maps to the routine protocol. Even though the diagnostic benefit of T1 ρ maps remains unclear, adding more quantitative images to the morphologic standard images seems welljustified. MIXTURE needs at least two morphologic images, which require more acquisition time than a single image.

The MIXTURE PD-weighted FS sequence depicted the cartilage defects with a level of contrast and sharpness similar to the reference sequences. By trend, EW and FWHM values of the 2D TSE sequences were lower and closer to the nominal defect diameters, respectively, than those of the corresponding MIXTURE and 3D TSE sequences. This finding indicates slightly less clear defect delineability of the latter sequences and may be due to the higher echo train lengths [29, 30] or the choice of the refocusing pattern that, besides affecting image contrast and SNR, also influences image blurring [31].

Increased blurring, likely secondary to the choice of the refocusing pattern, was observed for the MIXTURE T1-weighted images and confirmed by the radiomic analysis of bone texture. Bone texture was significantly more homogeneous in the MIXTURE T1-weighted sequence, which may translate into a loss of microtextural detail with as yet unknown clinical relevance.

When designing the study, we aimed to compare cartilage and bone texture voxel-wise. To this end, we matched the image resolutions of all sequences, both in plane and through plane. Yet, this approach precluded the possibility of performing multiplanar reconstructions, an inherent feature of isotropic 3D sequences, which is a prerequisite for precise tissue segmentation (of cartilage and meniscus) for the analysis of morphometry and relaxivity [32, 33].

Quantitative analyses indicated increased postdefect relaxation times. Alongside increased T2 and T1 ρ relaxation times, we observed cartilage thickness increases when comparing predefect and postdefect images. Even though the limited number of specimens and the caliper-related inaccuracies in thickness measurements need to be acknowledged, the changes in cartilage morphology and relaxivity are likely due to tissue swelling secondary to surgical handling, extended exposure to unphysiological conditions, and potentially altered tonicity.

While the exact compositional and structural correlates of prolonged T1p and T2 relaxation times remain unknown, literature evidence suggests that cartilage hydration is likely dominant [34, 35]. Surprisingly, we observed higher T2 than T1p relaxation times in the cartilage. In biological tissues, T1p relaxation times should be longer than T2 relaxation times because the spin-lock pulse forces the spins to precess about a direction different from the main magnetic field B_0 , thereby slowing T2 relaxation [36]. Shorter repetition times (as present in the MIXTURE sequence) may have led to T1 ρ underestimation [37]: if the repetition time is too short, it may not allow for complete T1p relaxation and decrease T1p relaxation times. Other factors worth considering are the applied radiofrequency pulse for the T1 ρ preparation, the B_1 inhomogeneity, and the magic angle effect [38]. Future phantom studies are needed to assess the accuracy and validity of MIXTURE-based relaxivity measurements versus reference measurements, e.g., multi-echo spin-echo sequences (for T2 quantification) and gradient-echo sequences (for T1p quantification) [39].

Our study has limitations. First, the *in situ* defect model using human cadaveric knee joints only approximates the actual *in vivo* situation. However, the model effectively excludes intersequence motion (and other artifacts such as arterial pulsations) and helps realize reproducible and standardized experimental conditions for voxel-wise comparisons. Regarding clinical translation, this model is inherently limited. Second, the number of specimens was small, and the study provided, by design, a focused proof of concept. Further diagnostic aspects relating to particular knee joint conditions require larger sample sizes and, ideally, assessment in the clinical routine.

In conclusion, combined morphologic and quantitative MRI sequences, such as the versatile MIXTURE platform, increase scanning efficiency and diagnostic utility by providing familiar contrasts and delivering additional quantitative information about joint cartilage defects in human cadaveric specimens. In a basic research context, MIXTURE sequences demonstrated excellent delineability of cartilage defects and visualization of bone texture on par with the corresponding reference sequences. Once corroborated by larger clinical studies, MIXTURE may be a promising sequence platform for comprehensive and time-efficient joint imaging.

Abbreviations

2D	Two-dimensional				
3D	Three-dimensional				
ANOVA	Analysis of variance				
EW	Edge width				
FS	Fat-saturated				
FWHM	Full width at half maximum				
MIXTURE	Multi-interleaved x-prepared turbo spin-echo with intuitive				
	relaxometry				
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging				
PD	Proton density				
ROI	Region of interest				
SI	Signal intensity				
SPAIR	Spectral attenuated inversion-recovery				
TSE	Turbo spin-echo				

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s41747-024-00462-0.

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. Intra-meniscal artifacts as a function of sequence. In the posterior horn of the lateral meniscus, the hyperintense signal discernable in the PD-weighted FS images, *i.e.*, 3D TSE, MIXTURE, and (much less pronounced) in 2D TSE, was determined to be artificial when assessed against the corresponding MIXTURE T2-weighted image (green arrows). Corresponding slices in the sagittal orientation. Abbreviations: FS fat saturated, MIXTURE Multi-Interleaved X-prepared Turbo-Spin Echo with IntUitive Relaxometry, TSE turbo spin echo, PD proton density. (PDF 149 KB)

Authors' contributions

TL, DT, and SN: conception. TL, SZ, and MY: design of the work. NP: acquisition of the data. NP, MH, MP, CY, and TL: analysis of the data. TL, SN, CK, and AP: interpretation of the data. SZ and MY: creation of the new software used in the work. TL: drafted the work. NP and SN: substantively revised the work. No large language models were used for this manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This work was supported by the START program of the Faculty of Medicine of the RWTH Aachen University (No. 692316). DT is supported by the European Union's Horizon Europe program (ODELIA, 101057091), by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (TR 1700/7-1), and by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (SWAG, 01KD2215A; TRANSFORM LIVER,

031L0312A). SN is funded by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (NE 2136/3-1).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author (TL) upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The local Institutional Review Board approved this prospective *in situ* imaging study on human cadaveric knee joint specimens (Ethical Committee, RWTH Aachen University, EK180/16). Body donors had given written informed consent prior to study initiation.

Consent for publication

Body donors gave written informed consent.

Competing interests

SZ and MY are employees of Philips. The remaining authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University, Pauwelsstr. 30, Aachen 52074, Germany. ²Philips GmbH Market DACH, Hamburg, Germany. ³Philips Japan, Tokyo, Japan. ⁴Institute of Molecular and Cellular Anatomy, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen 52074, Germany.

Received: 23 November 2023 Accepted: 27 March 2024 Published online: 05 June 2024

References

- 1. OARSI Osteoarthritis Research Society International (2016) Osteoarthritis: a serious disease, submitted to the U.S. Food And Drug Administration December 1, 2016. https://oarsi.org/sites/oarsi/files/library/2018/pdf/ oarsi_white_paper_oa_serious_disease121416_1.pdf. Accessed 27 Feb 2024
- Braun HJ, Gold GE (2012) Diagnosis of osteoarthritis: imaging. Bone 51:278–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.11.019
- Kijowski R, Blankenbaker DG, del Rio AM, Baer GS, Graf BK (2013) Evaluation of the articular cartilage of the knee joint: value of adding a T2 mapping sequence to a routine MR imaging protocol. Radiology 267(2):503– 513. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.12121413
- Hager B, Raudner M, Juras V et al (2022) MRI of early OA. In: Lattermann C, Madry H, Nakamura N, Kon E (eds) Early osteoarthritis: state-of-the-art approaches to diagnosis, treatment and controversies. Cham, Springer, pp 17–26. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-030-79485-9_2.pdf
- Le J, Peng Q, Sperling K (2016) Biochemical magnetic resonance imaging of knee articular cartilage: T1rho and T2 mapping as cartilage degeneration biomarkers. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1383(1):34–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/ nyas.13189
- Alsayyad MAI, Shehata KAA, Khattab RT (2021) Role of adding T2 mapping sequence to the routine MR imaging protocol in the assessment of articular knee cartilage in osteoarthritis. Egypt J Radiol Nucl Med 52:78. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43055-021-00453-w
- Atkinson HF, Birmingham TB, Moyer RF et al (2019) MRI T2 and T1p relaxation in patients at risk for knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 20:182. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12891-019-2547-7
- MacKay JW, Low SBL, Smith TO, Toms AP, McCaskie AW, Gilbert FJ (2018) Systematic review and meta-analysis of the reliability and discriminative validity of cartilage compositional MRI in knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 26(9):1140–1152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2017.11.018
- Link TM (2018) Establishing compositional MRI of cartilage as a biomarker for clinical practice. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 26(9):1137–1139. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.joca.2018.02.902

- Hilbert T, Sumpf TJ, Weiland E et al (2018) Accelerated T2 mapping combining parallel MRI and model-based reconstruction: GRAPPATINI. J Magn Reson Med 48:359–368. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.25972
- Eijgenraam SM, Chaudhari AS, Reijman M et al (2020) Time-saving opportunities in knee osteoarthritis: T2 mapping and structural imaging of the knee using a single 5-min MRI scan. Eur Radiol 30:2231–2240. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00330-019-06542-9
- Chaudhari A, Stevens K, Sveinsson B et al (2019) Combined 5-minute double-echo in steady-state with separated echoes and 2-minute proton-density-weighted 2D FSE sequence for comprehensive wholejoint knee MRI assessment. J Mag Reson Imaging 49:183–194. https://doi. org/10.1002/jmri.26582
- Chaudhari AS, Grissom JM, Fang Z et al (2021) Diagnostic accuracy of quantitative multicontrast 5-minute knee MRI using prospective artificial intelligence image quality enhancement. AJR Am J Roentgenol 216:1–12. https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.20.24172
- Welsch GH, Scheffler K, Mamisch TC et al (2009) Rapid estimation of cartilage T2 based on double echo at steady state (DESS) with 3 Tesla. Magn Reson Med 62:544–549. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22036
- Yoneyama M, Sakai T, Zhang S et al (2021) MIXTURE: a novel sequence for simultaneous morphological and quantitative imaging based on multiinterleaved 3D turbo-spin echo MRI. In: Proc Int Soc Mag Reson Med 29:4203, Online Meeting, 15–20 May 2021
- Sakai T, Yoneyama M, Watanabe A et al (2021) Simultaneous anatomical, pathological and T2 quantitative knee imaging with 3D submillimeter isotropic resolution using MIXTURE. Proc Int Soc Mag Reson Med 29:0845 (Online Meeting, 15–20 May 2021)
- Sakai T, Kwon J, Yoneyama M et al (2022) MIXTURE-DOSMA: initial clinical research of a comprehensive and multi-parametric quantitative 3D knee MR exam in patients with knee joint pain. Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med 30:4624 (London, 7–12 May 2022)
- Kwon J, Sakai T, Yoneyama M et al (2022) MIXTURE-DOSMA: a comprehensive and multi-parametric quantitative 3D knee MR exam in 10 minutes. Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med 30:4638 (London, 7–12 May 2022)
- Murayama D, Sakai T, Yoneyama M, Ochi S (2021) Simultaneous morphological and quantitative lumbar MRI with 3D isotropic high-resolution using MIXTURE T2. Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med 29:1244 (Online Meeting, 15–20 May 2021)
- Yokota H, Sakai T, Yoneyama M, Zhao Y, Uno T (2021) T2 mapping of the cranial nerves with multi-interleaved X-prepared turbo-spine echo with intuitive relaxometry (MIXTURE) FLAIR. Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med. 29. Online Meeting, 15–20 May 2021
- Sullivan GM, Feinn R. (2012) Using effect size or why the p value is not enough. J Grad Med Educ. 4(3):279-282. https://doi.org/10.4300/ 2FJGME-D-12-00156.1
- 22. Python. https://www.python.org/downloads/release/python-399/. Accessed 31 Jan 2023
- 23. ITK-SNAP. www.itksnap.org. Accessed 30 Jan 2023
- Yushkevich PA, Piven J, Hazlett HC, Smith RG, Ho S, Gee JC, Gerig G (2006) User-guided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: significantly improved efficiency and reliability. Neuroimage 31(3):1116– 28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.015
- Dieckmeyer M, Sollmann N, Husseini ME et al (2022) Gender-, age- and region-specific characterization of vertebral bone microstructure through automated segmentation and 3D texture analysis of routine abdominal CT. Front Endocrinol 12:792760. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021. 792760
- 26. pyRadiomics. https://pyradiomics.readthedocs.io/en/latest/. Accessed 30 Jan 2023
- DAV University Jalandhar. https://www.davuniversity.org/images/files/ study-material/Texture%20feature_2-end.pdf. Accessed 26 May 2023
- American College of Radiology (2015) ACR–SPR–SSR practice parameter for the performance and interpretation of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the knee. Revised 2020 (Resolution 31). https://www.acr.org/-/ media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/mr-knee.pdf. Accessed 27 Feb 2024
- 29. Kijowski R (2021) 3D MRI of articular cartilage. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol 25:397–408. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1730913
- Ristow O, Steinbach L, Sabo G et al (2009) Isotropic 3D fast spin-echo imaging versus standard 2D imaging at 3.0 T of the knee – image quality and diagnostic performance. Eur Radiol 19:1263–1272. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00330-008-1260-y

- Mugler JP (2014) Optimized three-dimensional fast-spin-echo MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging 39:745–767. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24542
- Wirth W, Eckstein F (2008) A technique for regional analysis of femorotibial cartilage thickness based on quantitative magnetic resonance imaging. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 27:737–744. https://doi.org/10.1109/ tmi.2007.907323
- Nolte T, Westfechtel S, Schock J et al (2023) Getting cartilage thickness measurements right: a systematic inter-method comparison using MRI data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Cartilage 14:26–38. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/19476035221144744
- Linka K, Itskov M, Truhn D, Nebelung S, Thuring J (2017) T2 MR imaging vs. computational modeling of human articular cartilage tissue functionality. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 74:477–487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jmbbm.2017.07.023
- Thuring J, Linka K, Itskov M et al (2018) Multiparametric MRI and computational modelling in the assessment of human articular cartilage properties: a comprehensive approach. Biomed Res Int: 9460456. https:// doi.org/10.1155/2018/9460456
- Wáng YXJ, Zhang Q, Li X, Chen W, Ahuja A, Yuan J (2015) T1p magnetic resonance: basic physics principles and applications in knee and intervertebral disc imaging. Quant Imaging Med Surg 5:858–885. https://doi.org/ 10.3978/j.issn.2223-4292.2015.12.06
- Wang L, Regatte RR (2015) T1rho MRI of human musculoskeletal system. J Magn Reson Imaging 41:586–600. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24677
- Chen W, Errors in quantitative T1rho imaging and the correction methods (2015) Quant Imaging Med Surg 5:583–591. https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn. 2223-4292.2015.08.05
- Obuchowski NA, Reeves AP, Huang EP et al (2015) Quantitative imaging biomarkers: a review of statistical methods for computer algorithm comparisons. Stat Methods Med Res 24(1):68–106. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0962280214537390

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.