

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Radiomics-based prediction of FIGO grade for placenta accreta spectrum

Helena C. Bartels^{1*}, Jim O'Doherty^{2,3,4}, Eric Wolsztynski^{5,6}, David P. Brophy⁷, Roisin MacDermott⁷, David Atallah⁸, Souha Saliba⁹, Constance Young¹⁰, Paul Downey¹⁰, Jennifer Donnelly¹¹, Tony Geoghegan¹², Donal J. Brennan^{1,13,14} and Kathleen M. Curran^{15*}

Correction: *European Radiology Experimental* 7, 54 (2023)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s41747-023-00369-2>

The original article [1] contains numerical errors in Table 1.

In the row "Elective delivery, n (%)", the respective cells state '30 (75)' and '7 (100)'.

They should instead respectively state '13 (72.0)' and '17 (73.0)' as shown in Table 1 of this Correction article.

Published online: 22 November 2023

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41747-023-00369-2>.

*Correspondence:

Helena C. Bartels
helenabartels91@gmail.com
Kathleen M. Curran
kathleen.curran@ucd.ie

¹ Department of UCD Obstetrics and Gynaecology, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, National Maternity Hospital, Holles Street, Dublin 2, Ireland

² Siemens Medical Solutions, Malvern, PA, USA

³ Department of Radiology & Radiological Science, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, USA

⁴ Radiography & Diagnostic Imaging, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

⁵ Statistics Department, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

⁶ Insight Centre for Data Analytics, Dublin, Ireland

⁷ Department of Radiology, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

⁸ Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Hôtel-Dieu de France University Hospital, Saint Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon

⁹ Department of Radiology: Fetal and Placental Imaging, Hôtel-Dieu de France University Hospital, Saint Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon

Reference

1. Bartels HC, O'Doherty J, Wolsztynski E et al (2023) Radiomics-based prediction of FIGO grade for placenta accreta spectrum. *Eur Radiol Exp* 7:54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41747-023-00369-2>

¹⁰ Department of Histopathology, National Maternity Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

¹¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rotunda Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

¹² Department of Radiology, Mater Misericordiae University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

¹³ University College Dublin Gynaecological Oncology Group (UCD-GOG), Mater Misericordiae University Hospital and St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

¹⁴ Systems Biology Ireland, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

¹⁵ School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Table 1 Participant demographics and clinical outcome

	FIGO grade 1–2 (n = 18)	FIGO grade 3 (n = 23)
Age (years)	36.0 (34.0–39.75)	39.0 (37.2–42.7)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	25.2 (23.4–29.3)	25.7 (23.0–30.1)
Parity	2 (1–3)	2 (1–2.5)
No of previous Caesarean section	1 (1–2)	2 (1–3)
Gestation at MRI (weeks + days)	29+0 (27+2–32+3)	28+1 (27+0–31+0)
Placental location on MRI, n (%)		
Placenta previa	18 (100.0)	22 (95.6)
Anterior placenta previa	16 (88.0)	22 (95.6)
Posterior placenta previa	2 (12.0)	0 (0.0)
Elective delivery, n (%)	13 (72.0)	17 (73.0)
Estimated blood loss (mL)	1,100 (735–3,250)	1,600 (1,100–5,800)
Red cell concentrate transfusion, n (%)	6 (33.3)	10 (43.5)
Surgical outcome		
Caesarean hysterectomy, n (%)	7 (38.9)	22 (95.7)
Uterine conservation ^a (%)	11 (61.6)	1 (4.3)
FIGO histological grade, n (%)		
1	4 (22.2)	0 (0.0)
2	14 (77.8)	0 (0.0)
3	0 (0.0)	23 (100)

Data are given in median (interquartile interval) unless otherwise stated

^a Uterine conservation for the PAS group were cases who underwent myometrial resection

FIGO International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics